



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/646,396	08/21/2003	Donna Shattuck	1309.05	9664
26698	7590 03/29/2005		EXAMINER	
	GENETICS INC.	CARLSON, KAREN C		
INTELLECU 320 WAKAI	JTAL PROPERTY DEPA RA WAY	RTMENT	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SALT LAKE	ECITY, UT 84108		1653	

DATE MAILED: 03/29/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

7				j'			
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	7			
		10/646,396	SHATTUCK ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Karen Cochrane Carlson, Ph					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication Reply	on appears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address				
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION of time may be available under the provisions of 37 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) day of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutor are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, it reply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	FION.  CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a repution.  s, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty yeriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTI by statute, cause the application to become ABA	oly be timely filed  (30) days will be considered timely.  HS from the mailing date of this communic  NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ation.			
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed or	n <u>22 December 2004</u> .					
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)	☑ This action is non-final.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the appli 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-12 and 18-20 Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 13-17 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction	<u>0</u> is/are withdrawn from considera	tion.				
Applicat	ion Papers						
9)[	The specification is objected to by the Ex	aminer.					
10)[	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)[	accepted or b) objected to b	y the Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection		` '				
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the The oath or declaration is objected to by	, ,	•	• •			
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for f  All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority doc  2. Certified copies of the priority doc  3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International See the attached detailed Office action fo	uments have been received. uments have been received in Ap ne priority documents have been r Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	plication No eceived in this National Stage				
Attachmen		<b></b>					
2) 🔲 Notic 3) 🔯 Infor	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-8 mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO er No(s)/Mail Date	948) Paper No(s)	mmary (PTO-413) Mail Date ormal Patent Application (PTO-152) -				

Application/Control Number: 10/646,396

Art Unit: 1653

Applicant's election with traverse of Invention V, Claims 13-17 in the reply filed on December 22, 2004 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that there is not a serious burden on the Examiner to examine all claims because the search of APAF1 will reveal all pertinent art. This is not found persuasive because the issues are not coextensive, that is, the search of mutants and the rejections based on mutants does not fully overlap such that examination would not incur undue burden.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Claims 1-12 and 18-20 are withdrawn from further consideration by the Examiner because these claims are drawn to non-elected inventions. Claims 13-17 are currently under examination.

The preliminary amendment filed July 2, 2004 has been received.

Priority is set to August 21, 2002.

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: the claims and specification recite mutation "f" two times within each listing of the mutations. See claims 15 and 16, see page 6, line 27 of the specification, for example.

Appropriate correction is required.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Art Unit: 1653

Claims 13-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In Claim 13, it is not clear what the alteration in APAF1 gene is that results in depression. The biological activity is not set forth and is therefore indefinite regarding what activity will be screened. It is not clear if the homolog is the mutant form of APAF1, or if the homolog has a mutation corresponding to that in APAF1? And how would one tell the difference? It is not clear if the derivative or the fragment comprise the mutation in the APAF1 or, for example, can the fragment of a mutated APAF1 be the same as a fragment for wild type, ie not having the mutation regardless of the origin of the fragment? See also Claims 14-17.

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 13-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

The specification describes drug screening at pages 25-37. The specification does not describe mutant APAF1, homologues, derivatives, or fragments thereof having activity, or an activity that could be blocked that would aid in the treatment of depression. Focusing only on the mutant APAF1, this mutant APAF1 would have to maintain its wild-type biological activity – which mutations would be expected to maintain wild-type biological activity? The dependent claims list several mutations, but nowhere in the specification has the activity of these mutant

Application/Control Number: 10/646,396 Page 4

Art Unit: 1653

APAF1 polypeptides been explored. See the Art of Record below wherein some mutations increase while others decrease the binding of APAF1 to procaspase-9, for example. Further, which activity is being assessed? That is, APAF1 interaction, with itself, cytochrome c, or caspase –9, for example. Thus, the specification fails to provide written description of a drug screening method using mutant APAF1. The same can be said about homologues comprising this mutation, derivatives comprising this mutation, or fragments comprising this mutation.

## Art of Record:

Mutations within APAF1 are found in the prior art; however, these mutations were used to find the interface between APAF1 and other polypeptides, such as caspase.

Yakovlev et al. (Oct. 1, 2001; J. Neuroscience 21(19): 7439-7446) teach APAF1 having mutations at Cys450Arg and Glu625Gln.

Qin et al. (1999; Nature 399:549-557) generated 20 mutations on the surfaces of APAF1 CARD domain and the procaspase-9 prodomain and found that two mutations in the APAF-1 CARD domain Asp27Ala and Glu40Ala eliminated interaction with procaspase-9 prodomain. Six mutations at Arg13, Lys42, Lys58, and Lys62 did not effect complex formation with procaspase-9.

Walke et al. (2000; Brain Res. 886:73-81) teach a murine variant of APAF1 having an 11 amino acid insert named APAF-1L. Both bind caspase 9, and unlike the C-elegans homolog CED-4, neither variant was lethal in yeast. APAF1L was more potent than APAF1.

Hu et al. (1999; EMBO J. 18(13): 3586-3595) show that APAF1 having Met368Leu could activate procaspase-9 while the conservatively substituted APAF1 having Lys160Arg could not.

Day et al. (1999; Cell Death and Differentiation 6:1125-1132) used alanine scanning mutatgenesis to demonstrate that mutations at K42, K58, K62, and K63 did not affect binding to procaspase-9, while mutations at Y24, D32, D27, and N73 decreased binding to procaspase-9. See all of the mutations at page 1128.

Application/Control Number: 10/646,396

Art Unit: 1653

umber: 10/646,396 Page 5

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Karen Cochrane Carlson, Ph.D. whose telephone number is 571-272-0946. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:00 AM - 4:00 PM, off alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dr. Jon Weber can be reached on 571-272-0925. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

\*\*\*

KAREN COCHRANE CARLSON, PH.D PRIMARY EXAMINER

Jaw Cochane Carlson Par